We have developed numerical and analytics methods

Numerical Simulation of Large-Scale Nonlinear Open Quantum Mechanics

M. Roda-Llordes,^{1, 2} D. Candoli,^{1, 2} P. T. Grochowski,^{1, 2, 3} A. Riera-Campeny,^{1, 2} T. Agrenius,^{1, 2} J. J. García-Ripoll,⁴ C. Gonzalez-Ballestero,^{1, 2} and O. Romero-Isart^{1, 2}

Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland Instituto de Física Fundamental IFF-CSIC, Calle Serrano 113b, Madrid 28006, Spain

(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023) Phys. Rev. Research **6**, 013262 (2024)

function in a time-dependent frame that leverages information from the classical trajectory to e ciently represent the state of the capabilities in phase space. The capabilities in phase space in phase space we examine the open quantum dynamics of a particle evolving in a particle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in potential after initial and state cooled in a tight harmonic potentials. This is numerical in a tight harmonic potential \mathbf{r}_i approach is particularly relevant to ongoing eorts to ongoing eorts to design, optimize, and understand experiments of α

 \mathcal{L}_{max} at the phase-space \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} involves simulating the Wigner simulating the Wigner simulation of \mathcal{L}_{max}

Andreu Riera-Campeny^{1,2}, Marc Roda-Llordes^{1,2}, Piotr T. Grochowski^{1,2,3}, and Oriol Romero-Isart $1,2$

I. INTRODUCTION

 $\n **non-constant**\n$ of-mass state will be studied through the time evolution Quantum **8**, 1393 (2024)

 $Definition$

$$
W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-ipy/\hbar} \langle x + y/2 | \hat{\rho} | x - y / \hat{\rho} | x - y / \hat{\rho} | x \rangle
$$

$$
\hat{x} | x \rangle = x | x \rangle
$$

Definition

It's a real function, can be plotted

$$
W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-ipy/\hbar} \langle x + y/2 | \hat{\rho} | x - y \rangle
$$

$$
\hat{x} | x \rangle = x | x \rangle
$$

 $W(x, p) = W^*(x, p)$

Definition

It's a real function, can be plotted

Some properties

$$
W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-ipy/\hbar} \langle x + y/2 | \hat{\rho} | x - y \rangle
$$

$$
\hat{x} | x \rangle = x | x \rangle
$$

 $W(x, p) = W^*(x, p)$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dp W(x, p) = 1
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp W(x, p) = P(x) = \langle x | \hat{\rho} | x \rangle
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx W(x, p) = P(p) = \langle p | \hat{\rho} | p \rangle
$$

Definition

It's a real function, can be plotted

Some properties

Can be negative and is bounded

$$
W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-ipy/\hbar} \langle x + y/2 | \hat{\rho} | x - y \rangle
$$

$$
\hat{x} | x \rangle = x | x \rangle
$$

$$
W(x,p) = W^*(x,p)
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dp W(x, p) = 1
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp W(x, p) = P(x) = \langle x | \hat{\rho} | x \rangle
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx W(x, p) = P(p) = \langle p | \hat{\rho} | p \rangle
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{\pi \hbar} \le W(x, p) \le \frac{1}{\pi \hbar}
$$

They only depend on 5 real numbers $c \equiv \langle \hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}\rangle/2 - \langle \hat{x}\rangle \langle \hat{p}\rangle$ $\nu_p \equiv \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{p} \rangle^2$ **T** $v_x \equiv \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2$ ̂ ⟨*p*⟩̂ $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$

Gaussian Wigner function

They only depend on 5 real numbers $c \equiv \langle \hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}\rangle/2 - \langle \hat{x}\rangle \langle \hat{p}\rangle$ $\nu_{p}\equiv\langle\hat{p}^{2}\rangle-\langle\hat{p}\rangle^{2}$ $v_x \equiv \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2$ ̂ ⟨*p*⟩̂ ⟨*x*⟩̂

They only depend on 5 real numbers

Coherent, thermal, squeezed states are Gaussian

 $c \equiv \langle \hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}\rangle/2 - \langle \hat{x}\rangle \langle \hat{p}\rangle$ $\nu_{p}\equiv\langle\hat{p}^{2}\rangle-\langle\hat{p}\rangle^{2}$ $v_x \equiv \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2$ ̂ ⟨*p*⟩̂ ⟨*x*⟩̂

Gaussian Wigner function

Equation of motion for open quantum dynamics of a particle in a potential

$$
\partial_t \hat{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\hat{\rho}^2}{2m} + U(\hat{x}), \hat{\rho}(t) \right] - \frac{\Gamma}{2x_{\Omega}^2} [\hat{x}, [\hat{x}, \hat{\rho}(t)]]
$$

Equation of motion for open quantum dynamics of a particle in a potential

$$
\partial_t \hat{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + U(\hat{x}), \hat{\rho}(t) \right] - \frac{\Gamma}{2x_{\Omega}^2} [\hat{x}, [\hat{x}, \hat{\rho}(t)]]
$$

Equation of motion for the Wigner function (PDE)

$$
\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x, p, t)
$$

∂*W*(*x*, *p*, *t*) ∂*t* Eq of motion of the W function $\frac{\partial W(x, p, v)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x, p, t)$

Eq of motion of the W function

Conservative classical dynamics $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{P}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x, p, t)
$$

 $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{p}{p}$ *m* ∂ ∂*x* + ∂*U*(*x*) ∂*x* ∂

Eq of motion of the W function

Conservative classical dynamics $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{P}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x, p, t)
$$

 $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{p}{p}$ *m* ∂ ∂*x* + ∂*U*(*x*) ∂*x* ∂

$$
\mathcal{L}_q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \frac{\hbar^{2n}}{4^n} \frac{\partial^{2n+1} U(x)}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \frac{\partial^{2n+1}}{\partial p^{2n+1}}
$$

$$
= -\frac{\hbar^2}{24} \frac{\partial^3 U(x)}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial p^3} + \dots
$$

Genuine quantum dynamics (requires nonquadratic potentials!)

Eq of motion of the W function

Conservative classical dynamics $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{P}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x, p, t)
$$

 $\mathscr{L}_c = -\frac{p}{p}$ *m* ∂ ∂*x* + ∂*U*(*x*) ∂*x* ∂

$$
\mathcal{L}_q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \frac{\hbar^{2n}}{4^n} \frac{\partial^{2n+1} U(x)}{\partial x^{2n+1}} \frac{\partial^{2n+1}}{\partial p^{2n+1}}
$$

$$
= -\frac{\hbar^2}{24} \frac{\partial^3 U(x)}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial p^3} + \dots
$$

Genuine quantum dynamics (requires nonquadratic potentials!)

Dissipative dynamics

$$
\mathcal{L}_d = \frac{\hbar^2 \Gamma}{2x_{\Omega}^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}
$$

W function in the Liouville frame

$\widetilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathscr{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)$

W function in the Liouville frame

$\tilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)$

Liouville theorem $\tilde{W}(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, t), p_c(x, p, t), t)$ $W(x, p, t) = \tilde{W}(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), t)$

W function in the Liouville frame

$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathscr{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)
$$

(*x*, *p*, *t*) = *W*(*xc*(*x*, *p*, *t*), *pc* Liouville theorem (*x*, *p*, *t*), *t*)

Classical solutions for a point partic

$$
\widetilde{W}(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, t), p_c(x, p, t), t)
$$

$$
W(x, p, t) = \widetilde{W}(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), t)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{cle} \quad \frac{\partial x_c(x, p, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{p_c(x, p, t)}{m} \\
\frac{\partial p_c(x, p, t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial U(x)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x = x_c(x, p, t)} \quad p_c(x, p, 0) = p\n\end{aligned}
$$

W function in the Liouville frame

(*x*, *p*, *t*) = *W*(*xc*(*x*, *p*, *t*), *pc* Liouville theorem (*x*, *p*, *t*), *t*)

Classical solutions for a point particle

Eq of motion in the Liouville frame

$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, t), p_c(x, p, t), t)
$$
\n
$$
W(x, p, t) = \tilde{W}(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} \left(\mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d \right) e^{\mathcal{L}_c t} \tilde{W}(x,p,t)
$$

W function in the Liouville frame

(*x*, *p*, *t*) = *W*(*xc*(*x*, *p*, *t*), *pc* Liouville theorem (*x*, *p*, *t*), *t*)

Classical solutions for a point particle

Eq of motion in the Liouville frame

Note that if $\mathcal{L}_q = \mathcal{L}_n = 0$ then $\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = 0$

$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, t), p_c(x, p, t), t)
$$
\n
$$
W(x, p, t) = \tilde{W}(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\mathscr{L}_c t} \left(\mathscr{L}_q + \mathscr{L}_d \right) e^{\mathscr{L}_c t} \tilde{W}(x, p, t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = 0
$$

Closed dynamics for quadratic Hamiltonians are easy!

Simply

Example of free dynamics $W(x, p, t) = W(x - pt/m, p, 0)$

$$
W(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), 0)
$$

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}^2 + \hat{\vec{x}}^2 \right)
$$

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \hat{D}(2)|0\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array}
$$

• Displaced ground state

Example: Harmonic oscillator

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}^2 + \hat{\vec{x}}^2 \right)
$$

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \hat{D}(2)|4\rangle
$$

$$
\bigg\}
$$

• Fock state

Example: Harmonic oscillator

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{D}(6) |0\rangle + \hat{D}(-6) |0\rangle \right)
$$

$$
\begin{matrix} \text{ } \\ \text{ } \\ \text{ } \end{matrix}
$$

$$
\left(\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}^2 + \hat{\vec{x}}^2\right)\right)
$$

• Cat state

Example: Harmonic oscillator

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \hat{p}^2
$$

$$
\hat{\tilde{\sigma}}^2 \quad | \quad |\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\nv_x(t) = v_x(0) + v_p(0)t^2 \\
v_p(t) = v_p(0)\n\end{cases}
$$

• Spread increases quadratically

Example: Free dynamics

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \hat{p}^2
$$

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{D}(6) |0\rangle + \hat{D}(-6) |0\rangle \right)
$$

• Cat state expanding freely

• Fringes transferred to position!

$$
x(t) = x(0) + \frac{p(0)}{m}t
$$

Example: Free dynamics

• Spread increases exponentially!

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}^2 - \hat{\vec{x}}^2 \right)
$$

 $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle$

H. Pino, …, O. Romero-Isart. Q. Sci. Technol. 3, 25001 (2018)

O. Romero-Isart New J. Phys. 19, 123029 (2017)

Example: Inverted harmonic oscillator

$$
\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}^2 - \hat{\vec{x}}^2 \right)
$$

$$
|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{D}[4(1-i)] |0\rangle + \hat{D}[4(i-1)] |0\rangle \right)
$$

• Cat state

H. Pino, …, O. Romero-Isart. Q. Sci. Technol. 3, 25001 (2018)

O. Romero-Isart New J. Phys. 19, 123029 (2017)

Example: Inverted harmonic oscillator

W function in the Liouville frame

(*x*, *p*, *t*) = *W*(*xc*(*x*, *p*, *t*), *pc* Liouville theorem (*x*, *p*, *t*), *t*)

Classical solutions for a point particle

Note that if $\mathscr{L}_q = \mathscr{L}_n = 0$ then $\frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial q}$

$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) \equiv e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} W(x, p, t)
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{W}(x, p, t) = W(x_c(x, p, t), p_c(x, p, t), t)
$$
\n
$$
W(x, p, t) = \tilde{W}(x_c(x, p, -t), p_c(x, p, -t), t)
$$

∂*t*

We have developed numerical and analytics methods

Numerical Simulation of Large-Scale Nonlinear Open Quantum Mechanics

M. Roda-Llordes,^{1, 2} D. Candoli,^{1, 2} P. T. Grochowski,^{1, 2, 3} A. Riera-Campeny,^{1, 2} T. Agrenius,^{1, 2} J. J. García-Ripoll,⁴ C. Gonzalez-Ballestero,^{1, 2} and O. Romero-Isart^{1, 2}

Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland Instituto de Física Fundamental IFF-CSIC, Calle Serrano 113b, Madrid 28006, Spain

(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023) Phys. Rev. Research **6**, 013262 (2024)

function in a time-dependent frame that leverages information from the classical trajectory to e present analysis of Farticle Dynamics in vyide. T we examine the open quantum dynamics of a particle evolving in a particle evolving in a one-dimensional weak q potential after initial and state cooled in a tight harmonic potentials. This is numerical than the state cool

Andreu Riera-Campeny^{1,2}, Marc Roda-Llordes^{1,2}, Piotr T. Grochowski^{1,2,3}, and Oriol Romero-Isart $1,2$

I. INTRODUCTION

multiscale phase-space dynamics of the particle's centerof-mass state will be studied through the time evolution Quantum **8**, 1393 (2024)

∂*W* ˜ (*x*, *p*, *t*) ∂*t* Eq of motion in the Liouville frame $\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\mathscr{L}_c t} \left(\mathscr{L}_q + \mathscr{L}_n \right) e^{\mathscr{L}_c t} \tilde{W}(x, p, t)$

Eq of motion in the Liouville frame

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\mathcal{L}_c t} \left(\mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_n \right) e^{\mathcal{L}_c t} \tilde{W}(x, p, t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{n, m = 0}^{n + m \le N_U} g_{nm}(x, p, t) \frac{\partial^{n + m} \tilde{W}(x, p, t)}{\partial x^n \partial p^m}
$$

Numerical integration of PDE in the Liouville frame can be done with a fix grid

This is equivalent to using a timedependent "smart" grid where grid points go where they matter most

∂*W* ˜ (*x*, *p*, *t*) ∂*t* Eq of motion in the Liouville frame $\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = e^{-\mathscr{L}_c t} \left(\mathscr{L}_q + \mathscr{L}_n \right) e^{\mathscr{L}_c t} \tilde{W}(x, p, t)$

We have developed numerical and analytics methods

Numerical Simulation of Large-Scale Nonlinear Open Quantum Mechanics

M. Roda-Llordes,^{1, 2} D. Candoli,^{1, 2} P. T. Grochowski,^{1, 2, 3} A. Riera-Campeny,^{1, 2} T. Agrenius,^{1, 2} J. J. García-Ripoll,⁴ C. Gonzalez-Ballestero,^{1, 2} and O. Romero-Isart^{1, 2}

Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland Instituto de Física Fundamental IFF-CSIC, Calle Serrano 113b, Madrid 28006, Spain

(Dated: June 5, 2023) Phys. Rev. Research **6**, 013262 (2024)

function in a time-dependent frame that leverages information from the classical trajectory to e ciently represent the state of the capabilities in phase space. The capabilities in phase space in phase space we examine the open quantum dynamics of a particle evolving in a particle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in potential after initial and state cooled in a tight harmonic potentials. This is numerical in a tight harmonic potential \mathbf{r}_i approach is particularly relevant to ongoing eorts to ongoing eorts to design, optimize, and understand experiments of α

 \mathcal{L}_{max} at the phase-space \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} involves simulating the Wigner simulating the Wigner simulation of \mathcal{L}_{max}

Andreu Riera-Campeny^{1,2}, Marc Roda-Llordes^{1,2}, Piotr T. Grochowski^{1,2,3}, and Oriol Romero-Isart $1,2$

I. INTRODUCTION

$\n **non-constant**\n$ of-mass state will be studied through the time evolution Quantum **8**, 1393 (2024)

∂*W*(*x*, *p*, *t*) ∂*t* Eq of motion of the W function $\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = (L_x + L_y + L_y) W(x, p, t)$

Eq of motion of the W function

Move to classical centroid frame

$$
\frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x,p,t)
$$

$$
M_c(t) = \exp\left[-x_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - p_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]
$$

Eq of motion of the W function

Move to classical centroid frame

$$
M_c(t) = \exp\left[-x_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - p_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x,p,t)
$$

$$
W^{(C)}(x,p,t) \equiv M_c^{-1}(t)W(x,p,t)
$$

Eq of motion of the W function

Move to classical centroid frame

$$
M_c(t) = \exp\left[-x_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - p_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W(x,p,t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d\right) W(x,p,t)
$$

$$
W^{(C)}(x,p,t) \equiv M_c^{-1}(t)W(x,p,t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W^{(C)}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c^{(C)} + \mathcal{L}_q^{(C)} + \mathcal{L}_d^{(C)}\right)W^{(C)}(
$$

Eq of motion of the W function

Move to classical centroid frame

Effective time-dependent potential

$$
\frac{\partial W(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_q + \mathcal{L}_d \right) W(x, p, t)
$$

$$
M_c(t) = \exp\left[-x_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - p_c(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right]
$$

$$
W^{(C)}(x,p,t) \equiv M_c^{-1}(t)W(x,p,t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W^{(C)}(x, p, t)}{\partial t} = \left(\mathcal{L}_c^{(C)} + \mathcal{L}_q^{(C)} + \mathcal{L}_d^{(C)}\right)W^{(C)}(
$$

$$
U_{eff}(x,t) \equiv \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n U}{\partial x^n} (x_c(t)) x^n
$$

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

Gaussian dynamics given by

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_G^{(C)}(t) \equiv -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_G(x, t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}
$$

Gaussian dynamics given by

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

$$
\mathscr{L}_G^{(C)}(t) \equiv -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_G(x,t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}
$$

Define propagator of Gaussian dynamics

$$
M_G(t) \equiv \exp_+\left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}_G^{(C)}(t')\right]
$$

Gaussian dynamics given by

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

$$
\mathscr{L}_G^{(C)}(t) \equiv -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_G(x,t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}
$$

Define propagator of Gaussian dynamics

$$
M_G(t) \equiv \exp_+\left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}_G^{(C)}(t')\right]
$$

Centroid+Gaussian frame $W^{(G)}(x, p, t) \equiv M_G^{-1}(t)M_c^{-1}(t)W(x, p, t)$

Gaussian dynamics given by

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

$$
\mathscr{L}_G^{(C)}(t) \equiv -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_G(x,t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}
$$

Define propagator of Gaussian dynamics

Non-Gaussian generator

$$
M_G(t) \equiv \exp_+\left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}_G^{(C)}(t')\right]
$$

Centroid+Gaussian frame $W^{(G)}(x, p, t) \equiv M_G^{-1}(t)M_c^{-1}(t)W(x, p, t)$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{nG}^{(C)}(t) \equiv \mathcal{L}_{c}^{(C)}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{q}^{(C)}(t) - \mathcal{L}_{G}^{(C)}(t)
$$

Gaussian dynamics given by

Dynamical equation (exact)

$$
U_G(x,t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} (x_c(t)) x^2
$$

$$
\mathscr{L}_G^{(C)}(t) \equiv -\frac{p}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U_G(x,t)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}
$$

Define propagator of Gaussian dynamics

Non-Gaussian generator

$$
M_G(t) \equiv \exp_+\left[\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}_G^{(C)}(t')\right]
$$

Centroid+Gaussian frame $W^{(G)}(x, p, t) \equiv M_G^{-1}(t)M_c^{-1}(t)W(x, p, t)$

 $\mathscr{L}_c^{(C)}(t) \equiv \mathscr{L}_c^{(C)}(t) + \mathscr{L}_q^{(C)}(t) - \mathscr{L}_G^{(C)}(t)$

 $\partial W^{(G)}(x,p,t)$ ∂*t* $=\left(\mathcal{L}_{nG}^{(G)}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{d}^{(G)}(t)\right)W^{(G)}(x,p,t)$

Constant angle and linearized noise approximations

Exact equation to solve

After approximation

 $W^{(G)}(x, p, t) \approx \exp \left[\Delta_{nG}(t) + \Delta_{d}(t) \right] W^{(G)}(x, p, 0)$

$$
W^{(G)}(x, p, t) = \exp_{+}
$$

$$
\int_0^t dt' \mathcal{L}_{nG}^{(G)}(t') + \mathcal{L}_d^{(G)}(t') \bigg[W^{(G)}(x, p, 0)
$$

 $W(x, p, t) \approx M_c(t)M_G(t)exp \left[\Delta_{nG}(t) + \Delta_d(t) \right] W^{(G)}(x, p, 0)$

$$
\frac{\partial W^{(G)}(x,p,t)}{\partial t} =
$$

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}_{nG}^{(G)}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{d}^{(G)}(t)\right)W^{(G)}(x, p, t)
$$

Formal solution

Hence

Constant angle and linearized noise approximation

Figure 3: Wigner function of the state of a particle evolving in a double-well potential with parameters given in Table 1 at different instances of time. These instances of time are indicated by polygons and correspond to the times indicated in Fig. $1(a)$. The first row shows the numerically exact Wigner function $W(\bm{r}+\bm{r}_{\rm c}(\tau),\tau)$ obtained using a numerically exact method whereas the second row shows the approximated Wigner function $W_{\text{nG}}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}_{\text{c}}(\tau),\tau)$ obtained using our analytical approach.

Constant angle and linearized noise approximation

Figure 4: Position probability distribution at time $\tau_{\rm m}$ for a state evolving in a double-well potential for the parameters in Table 1 and for different values of Γ . The lines are computed using the analytical method described in this paper, whereas dots correspond to a numerically exact computation using Q-Xpanse [11].

We have developed numerical and analytics methods

Numerical Simulation of Large-Scale Nonlinear Open Quantum Mechanics

M. Roda-Llordes,^{1, 2} D. Candoli,^{1, 2} P. T. Grochowski,^{1, 2, 3} A. Riera-Campeny,^{1, 2} T. Agrenius,^{1, 2} J. J. García-Ripoll,⁴ C. Gonzalez-Ballestero,^{1, 2} and O. Romero-Isart^{1, 2}

Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland Instituto de Física Fundamental IFF-CSIC, Calle Serrano 113b, Madrid 28006, Spain

(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023)
(Dated: June 5, 2023) Phys. Rev. Research **6**, 013262 (2024)

function in a time-dependent frame that leverages information from the classical trajectory to e ciently represent the state of the capabilities in phase space. The capabilities in phase space in phase space we examine the open quantum dynamics of a particle evolving in a particle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in a one-dimensional weak quarticle evolving in potential after initial and state cooled in a tight harmonic potentials. This is numerical in a tight harmonic potential \mathbf{r}_i approach is particularly relevant to ongoing eorts to ongoing eorts to design, optimize, and understand experiments of α

 \mathcal{L}_{max} at the phase-space \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} involves simulating the Wigner simulating the Wigner simulation of \mathcal{L}_{max}

Andreu Riera-Campeny^{1,2}, Marc Roda-Llordes^{1,2}, Piotr T. Grochowski^{1,2,3}, and Oriol Romero-Isart $1,2$

I. INTRODUCTION

 $\n **non-constant**\n$ of-mass state will be studied through the time evolution Quantum **8**, 1393 (2024)